LDRS 664 Discussion Rubric

	Identifies information from the assigned reading and viewing that resonates or is striking and
	 clearly explains the reason for their choices. The responses show superior depth of knowledge on the topic, clear synthesis and analysis of
	the content, and provide depth, breath, or new insight to the topic.
	Demonstrates an accurate understanding of this chosen information.
	Uses information from the text to interpret chosen concepts or make connections to other
	situations or contexts logically through analysis, evaluation, inference, or comparison/contrast.
9-10	Defines uncommon or challenging words and shows evidence of doing the intellectual work
	necessary to understand challenging text.
	Identifies points of view and implications in the text and critically analyses own response to
	these.
	No errors in grammar or composition. Word limit and format followed. Posted on time.
	 Identifies information from the assigned reading and viewing that resonates or is striking but the reason for their choices is not completely or clearly explained.
	The responses show adequate depth of knowledge on the topic, a good synthesis but may lack
	in evaluation of the content read, and providing new insight to the topic.
	Demonstrates a partial understanding of this chosen information in the text or viewing.
	Uses information from the text/viewing to interpret chosen concepts but does not make
	connections to other situations or contexts.
6-8	Partially integrates interpretation of the text with text-based support.
	Does not define uncommon or challenging words necessary to understanding the text. Does not identify a circle of view and implications in the text or writingly and the circle of the circle
	Does not identify points of view and implications in the text or critically analyze their own response to these.
	 Fewer than 3 errors in grammar or composition. Word limit and format not followed. Not
	posted on time.
	 Demonstrates insufficient understanding of the chosen concepts.
	Responses show inadequate depth of knowledge on the topic, a synthesis that misses key
	concepts, and is not a critical analysis.
	Uses information from the text to make simplistic interpretations of the text without using
	significant concepts or by making only limited connections to other situations or contexts.
2.5	Does not integrate interpretation of the text with text-based support.
3-5	Does not define uncommon or challenging words necessary to understanding the text.
	Does not identify points of view and implications in the text or critically analyze their own
	response to these.
	 More than 3 errors in grammar or composition. Word limit and format followed. Not posted on time.
	Demonstrates little or no understanding of the text; may be inaccurate. Responses are superficial and do not include critical analysis.
	Little or no interpretation of the text.
1-2	Response is insufficient to show that criteria are met.
1-2	More than 5 errors in grammar or composition. Word limit and format followed. Not posted
	on time.
	Response is absent or does not address the task.
0	Response is insufficient to show that criteria are met.